Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/627,198	BROWN, DANIEL BOYD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leslie A. Royds	1614
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Leslie A. Royds</u> .	(3)	
(2) Karen Cochran.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 September 2005	Time: <u><i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.	·	
Claims discussed: 39-143.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		•
Aball		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner stated that although the statutory double patenting rejection has been withdrawn in light of the amendments, an obviousness-type double patenting rejection could be made over USPN 6,599,897. Applicant agreed to file a Terminal Disclaimer over USPN 6,599,897.

Authorization was given by Applicant's representative for the attached Examiner's Amendment on Tuesday, September 27, 2005.